

## **UPDATE SHEET FOR WA/2023/00395 – EAST STREET, FARNHAM**

Cllr Jerry Hyman submitted an email in which he set out certain claims which are planning matter for officers to consider and respond to.

### **1. Officers have conceded that this is a Subsequent Application for EIA development and that an Environmental Statement (ES) is required.**

This is a Deed of Variation to the Section 106, the applicant (Crest Nicholson) have submitted a Certificate of Conformity to the ES submitted with the planning application WA/2016/0268. The Statement of Conformity confirms that there would be no change in residual traffic impacts from those previously identified in the EIA for the scheme. The applicant has provided an updated traffic assessment report, an updated air quality report and an updated noise report, which have been compared with the findings of the original Environmental Statement in the Statement of Conformity. If there are specific matters within the EIA/Certificate of Conformity that you feel are not reflective of what is now proposed then please let me know and I can consider your points.

### **2. No ES has been provided, notified, certified, advertised and consulted upon as required by law.**

As confirmed in the response to your first question a separate ES is not required for the reasons set out.

### **3. Planning Officers are pretending that an ES exists in order to obtain consent *ultra vires*.**

The Committee report confirms the position on the ES, this is a matter that has taken considerable time to get to the point where it is ready to be considered by the Planning Committee. If there is something that we have missed or if you could confirm why you believe that we should challenge the Certificate of Conformity please let me know asap so that I can properly consider it and take the appropriate action.